FILED 1 OST - 0026 Neil J. Beller, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 002360 2000 JUN 24 A II: 35 NEIL J. BELLER, LTD 7408 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 (702) 368-7767 (702) 368-7720 Facsimile 5 Attorney for Plaintiffs 6 DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 TED R. BURKE; MICHAEL R and LAURETTA L. KEHOE; JOHN BERTOLDO; PAUL Case No. A558629 10 BARNARD; EDDY KRAVETZ; JACKIE and Dept. XIII FRED KRAVETZ; STEVEN FRANKS; PAULA 11 MARIA BARNARD; PETER T. and LISA A FREEMAN; LEON GOLDEN; C.A. MURFF; 12 GERDA FERN BILLBE; BOB and ROBYN TRESKA: MICHAEL RANDOLPH, and 13 FREDERICK WILLIS. MOTION TO CONTINUE **EVIDENTIARY HEARING** Plaintiffs, ON DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION TO REQUIRE SECURITY FROM PLAINTIFFS LARRY L. HAHN, individually, and as President and Treasurer of Kokoweef, Inc., and former President and Treasurer of Explorations 17 Incorporated of Nevada: HAĤN'S WORLD OF SURPLUS, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 18 I - X, inclusive; DOE OFFICERS, DIRECTORS 19 and PARTICIPANTS I - XX. 20 Defendants... 21 and KOKOWEEF, INC., a Nevada corporation; 22 EXPLORATIONS INCORPORATED OF 23 NEVADA, a dissolved Nevada corporation; Date of Hearing: 24 Nominal Defendants. Time of Hearing: 25 26 COMES NOW the Plaintiffs, by and through their attorney of record, NEIL J. BELLER, 27 ESQ., of the law firm of NEIL J. BELLER, LTD, and moves this Court for an Order Shortening Time 28 for the hearing of Plaintiffs' MOTION TO CONTINUE EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON

Page 1 of 7

1	DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION TO REQUIRE SECURITY FROM PLAINTIFFS.
2	This Motion is made and based on the pleadings and papers on file herein, the Points and
3	Authorities, the attached Affidavits, and any argument of counsel at the time of the hearing of this
4	motion.
5	DATED this day of June, 2008.
6	NEIL L DELLED LED
7	NEIL J. BELLER, LTD.
8	#1301 for
9	By: NEIL J. BELLER, ESQ.
10	Nevada Bar No. 2360 7408 W. Sahara Avenue
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 (702)368-7767
12	Attorney for Plaintiffs
13	ORDER SHORTENING TIME
14	It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS
15	HEREBY ORDERED that the foregoing MOTION TO CONTINUE EVIDENTIARY
16	HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION TO REQUIRE SECURITY FROM
17	PLAINTIFFS shall be heard on the 26th day of June, 2008, at the hour of 10:00 a. m. in
18	Department XIII.
19	
20	DISTRICT JUDGE
21	DISTRICT JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	· ·
27	
a	

<u>DECLARATION OF NEIL J. BELLER, ESQ.</u> IN SUPPORT OF ORDER SHORTENING TIME

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada and counsel for Plaintiffs and I have personal knowledge of the matters in this action except for those matters stated upon information and belief, and as to those matter, I believe them to be true.
- 2. There is insufficient time to hear this motion in the ordinary course due to the fact that I will be going on a scheduled vacation and leaving town on June 27, 2008 and not returning until July 15, 2008, and will not be available to attend any motion hearing set in the ordinary course.
- 3. Specifically, I request Plaintiffs' Motion to Continue be heard on June 26, 2008 at the time set for the hearing of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Strike Renewed Motion to Require Security from Plaintiffs for the reason that I will be continuing a bifurcated AAA Arbitration beginning on Sunday, June 22, 2008 and ongoing through Wednesday, June 25th before a three judge arbitration panel involving extensive and significant legal issues. I am available on Thursday, June 26, 2008. It is necessary for me to leave my residence by 1:30 p.m. on Friday, June 27, 2008 to catch a 4:00 p.m. plane for my out of the country trip. Although I could be available for court on June 27th. I could only be present until 12:30 p.m. and would then have to leave immediately.
- 4. Patrick C. Clary, Esq., counsel for Kokoweef, Inc. filed its Renewed Motion to Require Security from Plaintiffs on June 12, 2008. Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Strike on an order shortening time, which was filed on June 18, 2008. Before the court even set Plaintiffs motion on an order shortening time, Mr. Clary filed Kokoweef, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion to Strike and a motion for order shortening time. Mr. Clary's motion for an OST was attached as an exhibit to the motion. It was not my understanding that the motion on an OST was for an evidentiary hearing. Plaintiffs then filed a Renewed Motion to Strike because the paralegal preparing the Motion

///

26 | ///

to Strike believed that I would not be leaving town until June 29, 2008. Once it was determined that this Court had set an evidentiary hearing for Friday, June 27, 2008, it became necessary for Plaintiffs to file a Motion to Continue.

- 5. If the hearing on the 27th were only for the motion to require security from plaintiffs, then, I would be able to attend; but because Mr. Clary, requested an evidentiary hearing on an order shortening time, and based on my years of legal experience, I anticipate the hearing will take considerably longer than two hours. Additionally, due to the Arbitration beginning Sunday and continuing through Wednesday, June 25th, my time is limited in which to prepare for a proper presentation at an evidentiary hearing. Because, out of necessity that I must leave by 12:30 p.m., I would not be able to be present should the hearing run beyond 12:30 p.m. If this Court does not grant Plaintiffs' Motion to Continue, Larry Smith, Esq. of Benson & Bertoldo will be present with me at the hearing on June 27th, but he has not previously been involved in this matter, and it would be an injustice to my clients to have another attorney not completely familiar with the matter continue with the hearing.
- 6. This Motion is not brought for the purpose of delay but to provide justice to the Plaintiffs.

NEIL J. BELLER, ESO.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Patrick C. Clary, Esq., counsel for Kokoweef, Inc. filed its Renewed Motion to Require Security from Plaintiffs on June 12, 2008. Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Strike on an order shortening time, which was filed on June 18, 2008. Before the court even set Plaintiffs motion on an order shortening time, Mr. Clary filed Kokoweef, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion to Strike and a motion for order shortening time. Mr. Clary's motion for an OST was attached as an exhibit to that motion. It was Plaintiffs' understanding that the motion on an OST was for the subject motion and not for an evidentiary hearing. Plaintiffs then filed a Renewed Motion to Strike because the paralegal preparing the Motion to Strike believed that Mr. Beller would not be leaving town until June 29, 2008. Once it was determined that this Court had set an evidentiary hearing for Friday, June 27, 2008, it has become necessary for Plaintiffs to file a Motion to Continue the Hearing.

Plaintiffs request that their Motion to Continue be heard on June 26, 2008 at the time set for the hearing of Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Strike Renewed Motion to Require Security from Plaintiffs for the reason that their counsel, Neil J. Beller, Esq. will be continuing a bifurcated AAA Arbitration beginning on Sunday, June 22, 2008 and ongoing through Wednesday, June 25th before a three judge arbitration panel involving extensive and significant legal issues. Mr. Beller is available on Thursday, June 26, 2008. It is necessary for Mr. Beller to leave his residence by 1:30 p.m. on Friday, June 27, 2008 to catch a 4:00 p.m. plane for his out of the country trip. Although he could be available for court on June 27th, he could only be present until 12:30 p.m. and would then have to leave immediately.

If the hearing on the 27th were only for the motion to require security from plaintiffs, then, Mr. Beller would be able to attend; but because Mr. Clary, requested an evidentiary hearing on an order shortening time, and Plaintiffs' anticipate the hearing will take considerably longer than two hours, Mr. Beller could only be available until 12:30 p.m. Additionally, due to the Arbitration beginning Sunday and continuing through Wednesday, June 25th, Mr. Beller's time is limited in which to prepare for a proper presentation at an evidentiary hearing. Because, out of necessity that Mr. Beller must leave by 12:30 p.m., he would not be able to be present should the hearing run beyond 12:30 p.m. If this Court does not grant Plaintiffs' Motion to Continue, Larry Smith, Esq. of

Benson & Bertoldo will be present with Mr. Beller at the hearing on June 27th, but he has not 1 previously been involved in this matter, and it would be an injustice to Plaintiffs to have another 2 attorney not completely familiar with the matter continue with the hearing. Plaintiffs request the evidentiary hearing be continued until a date after July 16, 2008. 5 III. CONCLUSION Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs respectfully request their MOTION TO CONTINUE 6 7 EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION TO REQUIRE 8 SECURITY FROM PLAINTIFFS be granted. DATED this $\sqrt{2}$ day of June 2008. 9 10 NEIL J. BELLER, LTD. 11 #7301 For 12 By: 13 Nevada Bar No. 2360 14 7408 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 15 $(702)3\overline{6}8-7767$ Attorney for Plaintiffs 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the <u>23</u> day of June, 2008, service of the foregoing
3	PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO DEFENDANT KOKOWEEF, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
4	PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION TO STRIKE RENEWED MOTION TO REQUIRE
5	SECURITY FROM PLAINTIFFS AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS
6	was made this date by serving via facsimile a true copy of the same addressed as follows:
7 8	M. Nelson Segel, Chartered M. Nelson Segel, Esq., NBN 000530 624 South 9th Street
9	Telephone: 702-385-5266
10	
11	CLADA CANDION LLD
12	Curtis W. Cannon, Esq., NBN 010535 7201 West Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 503 Las Vegas, NV 89129
13	
14	
15	Fax No.: 702 - 382-7277
16	An employee of Neil J. Beller, Ltd.
17	in Julio de la conci, dun
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28