Electronically Filed 10/27/2009 03:05:20 PM

then to before

CLERK OF THE COURT

OPPS 1 | ALEXANDER ROBERTSON, IV State Bar No. 8642 JENNIFER L. TAYLOR State Bar No. 5798 ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP 401 N. Buffalo Dr., Suite 202 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 5 (702) 247-4661 Telephone: Facsimile: (702) 247-6227 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 TED R. BURKE; MICHAEL R. and 11 LAURETTA L. KEHOE; JOHN BERTOLDO; PAUL BARNARD; EDDY KRAVETZ; JACKIE and FRED KRAVETZ; STEVE 12 FRANKS; PAULA MARIA BARNARD; 13 LEON GOLDEN; C.A. MURFF; GERDA FERN BILLBE; BOB and ROBYN TRESKA; MICHAEL RANDOLPH; and FREDERICK 14 WILLIS, 15 Plaintiffs, 16 VS. 17 LARRY H. HAHN, individually, and as President and Treasurer of Kokoweef, Inc., and former President and Treasurer of Explorations Incorporated of Nevada; HAHN'S WORLD OF 19 SURPLUS, INC., a Nevada corporation; 20 PATRICK C. CLARY, an individual; DOES 1 through 100, inclusive: 21 Defendants, 22 and **23** KOKOWEEF, INC., a Nevada corporation; **EXPLORATIONS INCORPORATED OF** 24 NEVADA, a dissolved corporation, 25 Nominal Defendants. 26 27

CASE NO. A558629 DEPT: XIII

OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PATRICK C. CLARY'S AND KOKOWEEF, INC.'S FUGITIVE JOINDER TO DEFENDANTS LARRY HAHN'S AND HAHN'S WORLD OF SURPLUS, INC'S MOTION TO TRANSFER CASE TO DEPARTMENT 13, AND REQUEST TO STRIKE SAME

ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP

10/27/09 3:17 JLT

28

Plaintiffs Ted R. Burke; Michael R. And Lauretta L. Kehoe; John Bertoldo; Paul Barnard; Eddy Kravetz; Jackie and Fred Kravetz; Steven Franks; Paula Maria Barnard; Peter T. And Lisa A. Freeman; Leon Golden; C.A. Murff; Gerda Fern Billbe; Bob and Robyn Treska; Michael Randolph and Frederick Willis (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record, Robertson & Vick LLP, hereby opposes Defendants Patrick C. Clary's and Kokoweef, Inc.'s Fugitive Joinder to Defendants Larry Hahn's and Hahn's World of Surplus, Inc's (hereafter the "Hahn Defendants") Motion to Transfer Case to Department 13, and Requests the same by Stricken by this Court.

The following Opposition is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein, the Points and Authorities in the underlying Application, the prior arguments of counsel and any oral argument requested of counsel.

DATED this 27th day of October, 2009.

ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP

DER ROBERTSON, IV

.\TAYŁOR

401/N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 202

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

27 ROBERTSON

28

26

& VICK, LLP

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

THE SO-CALLED JOINDER IS UNTIMELY AND SHOULD BE DISREGARDED

I.

Quite simply, Defendants Clary's and Kokoweef's so-called Joinder to the Hahn Defendants' Motion to Transfer Case to Department 13 is a fugitive reply brief masquerading as an untimely joinder, and which, regardless of its designation must be stricken and utterly disregarded by this Court.

Pursuant to EDCR 2.20(b), Defendants Clary and Kokoweef were obligated to file any so-called joinder within five (5) days from service of the motion. Specifically, EDCR 2.20(b) states: "Within 5 days after service of the motion, a nonmoving party may file written joinder thereto."

The underlying Motion was filed on or about September 22, 2009. However, Defendants Clary and Kokoweef did not file their so-called Joinder until October 16, 2009, approximately twenty-four days after the initial motion was filed, and a full seven (7) days after Plaintiffs' October 9, 2009 Opposition was filed. And, what was filed was not even a joinder, but simply a fugitive filing in an attempt to coordinate with the Hahn Defendants Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to the transfer of this case.

Defendants Clary and Kokoweef failed to adhere to the deadline set out in EDCR 2.20(b) and, therefore, this Court should disregard and strike their so-called Joinder in its entirety. Such a flagrant attempt to file a fugitive document should not be countenanced, and it should be disregard by this Court.¹

To the extent the Court does consider Clary's untimely and fugitive joinder, it still lacks merit and should be disregarded, despite Defendants Clary's and Kokoweef's inappropriate

Motion had been filed and a full eight days after the Opposition had been filed. Apparently, Clary believes that rules and deadlines simply don't apply to him and that he can file a document at any time, title it as a joinder, and receive the Court's blessing for the consideration of the same.

ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP 2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

This strategy seems to be a standard operating procedure for attorney Patrick C. Clary. This very same strategy was employed by Clary in Clark County District Court Case No. 571568 pending in front of Judge Williams. A Motion to Dismiss was filed on June 8, 2009, and the Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss was filed on June 22, 2009. Clary then waited to file a joinder on behalf of his client until June 30, 2009, twenty-two days after the

argument and conclusion that the Hahn Defendants' motion to transfer this case is "meritorious" and should be granted. As detailed in Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Hahn Defendants' underlying Motion, and as further set forth below, the relief sought by Defendants in trying to force this matter back to their preferred jurist, has no merit whatsoever.

II.

THE SO-CALLED JOINDER DISREGARDS THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE OF **JUDICIAL ASSIGNMENT**

Defendants Clary's and Kokoweef's so-called Joinder utterly fails to address the inviolate principal of judicial selection, i.e. "all cases must be distributed on a random basis." EDCR 1.60. An even more incredible, and unsupported, argument by counsel for Clary and Kokoweef is that the mere fact that Plaintiffs Opposed the Hahn Defendants' Motion amounts to forum shopping. Joinder 4:4-7. Such an unsupported and novel argument should be utterly disregarded by this Court. Tahoe Village Realty v. DeSmet, 95 Nev. 131, 590 P.2d 1158 (Nev. 1979).

The balance of the so-called Joinder addresses the claim that "it is undeniable that certain of Judge Denton's prior rulings will be revisited" (Joinder 3:11-12). Yet, the two orders cited have already been addressed by Plaintiffs in their Opposition to the Hahn Defendants' Motion and add nothing new of substance to Defendants efforts to move this matter back to their preferred forum.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs request that the Court strike Defendants Clary's and Kokoweef's untimely joinder to the Hahn Defendants Motion to Transfer. To the extent the Court is inclined to

27

///

ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP

consider this untimely, so-called Joinder, the substance lacks merit, continues to engage in the same misdirection as the Reply of the Hahn Defendants and should be denied.

Dated: October 27, 2009

ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP

By.

N♥ Bar No. 8642

Jennifer L. Taylor-

NV Bar No. 5798 401 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 202 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

ROBERTSON

& VICK, LLP

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that on the 27th day of October, 2009, I served a copy of the above and
3	foregoing OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PATRICK C. CLARY'S AND KOKOWEEF,
4	INC.'S FUGITIVE JOINDER TO DEFENDANTS LARRY HAHN'S AND HAHN'S
5	WORLD OF SURPLUS, INC.'S MOTION TO TRANSFER CASE TO DEPARTMENT
6	13, AND REQUEST TO STRIKE SAME by depositing a copy thereof for mailing at Las
7	Vegas, Nevada, postage prepaid, addressed to:
8	M. Nelson Segel, Chartered Patrick C. Clary, Chartered M. Nelson Segel, Esq. Patrick C. Clary, Esq.
9	624 South 9th Street Cas Vegas, NV 89101 Tatrick C. Clary, Esq. 7201 West Lake Mead Boulevard Suite 410
10	Telephone: (702) 385-6266 Facsimile: (702) 382-2967 Las Vegas, NV 89129 Telephone: (702) 382-0813
11	Attorneys for Larry Hahn and Facsimile: (702) 382-7277 Hahn's World of Surplus, Inc. Attorneys for Kokoweef, Inc.
12	
13	
14	Manua Manak
15	Monica Metoyér)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

ROBERTSON & VICK, LLP